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Objectives

• Discuss the concept of vulnerable populations and its implications for health disparities and health literacy research
• Review current evidence for communication disparities by race, social concordance, and health literacy
• Describe intervention strategies being tested for effectiveness at improving communication and reducing health and healthcare disparities
• Provide potential directions for future research
Healthcare disparities and health literacy

- Who is at greatest risk?
- Concept of vulnerability
Health and Healthcare Disparities: Who is at greatest risk?

- Racial and ethnic minorities
- Those with low socio-economic status
- Geography
- Gender
- Age
- Disability status
- Sex and gender (LGBT)
- Other at-risk populations

http://www.cdc.gov/minorityhealth/populations.html
Low health literacy: Who is at greatest risk?

- Older adults
- Racial and ethnic minorities
- People with less than a high school degree or GED certificate
- People with low income levels
- Non-native speakers of English
- People with compromised health status

Vulnerable Populations

Subpopulations, who because of shared social characteristics:

• Are at higher risk of risks
• Are exposed to contextual conditions that distinguish them from the rest of population
• Have a higher mean distribution of risk exposure than the rest of the population, characterized by a clustering of risks that conspire to foster disease
• Experience stressful social disorganization as a normative reality of life

Schillinger D. IOM Roundtable on Health Disparities, 2010
Pearlin, The Stress Process Revisited.
Vulnerability: Dimensions, Sources, and Temporal Nature

- **Dimensions**
  - Social stress process
  - Coping mechanisms

- **Sources**
  - Poverty and race
  - Physical environment

- **Temporary or Persistent Nature**
  - Temporary, particular life crises
  - Permanent

Mechanic D and Tanner J. *Health Affairs* (2007)
Understanding How Health Literacy Impacts Health Outcomes

Paasche-Orlow M, Wolf M. Am J Health Behav. 2007
Disparities in patient-physician communication

- Race
- Racial and social discordance
- Health literacy
Racial disparities in patient-physician communication are documented

- Compared to whites, African Americans and Hispanics in primary care settings experience:
  - More narrowly biomedical communication
  - Less participatory communication
  - Less rapport-building and less positive affect

Social discordance between patients and physicians increases risk of poor communication

- **Race-discordant** visits are shorter with less positive affect and lower patient ratings of participation
- **Social discordance** across multiple characteristics (age, gender, race, education) has cumulative negative effects on patient-physician communication and perceptions of care

Cooper-Patrick L. JAMA (1999); Cooper LA. Ann Intern Med (2003); Thornton RL. Pt Ed Couns (2011)
Disparities in communication by health literacy are documented

- Low health literacy may exert its impact on outcomes, in part, via reduced participation during medical visits.
- Although patients with low and adequate health literacy are similarly interested in participating in medical decision making, low literate patients **ask fewer questions** and are **less likely to seek information** from physicians.

Intervention strategies
Are interventions to improve patient-physician communication effective?

They are effective for changing physician behavior, but results are mixed for their impact on:

- Patient knowledge and recall of information
- Patient adherence
- Patient satisfaction
- Clinical outcomes
  - Pain reduction
  - Depression resolution
  - Control of diabetes
  - Control of hypertension

Are health literacy (HL) interventions effective?

• A recent systematic review of 38 interventions found the following intervention features to be effective at improving disease biomarkers and hospitalizations:
  – High intensity
  – Theory basis
  – Pilot-testing
  – Skills-building focus

    - Delivery by health professional
    - Simplified text
    - Teach-back methods

Sheridan S, J Health Communication (2011)
Do health literacy (HL) interventions reduce disparities?

Schillinger reported at the 2010 IOM Literacy Roundtable:

- Most studies that evaluate HL interventions
  - demonstrate improvements that disproportionately accrue to those with *adequate HL* or yield similar improvements across HL, or
  - do not report on effects on vulnerable sub-groups
- Seven exceptions in which HL intervention reduced disparities – varied interventionists, conditions, settings – most use tailored, intensive approaches, few target health professional and patient communication skills

Communication Training Methods

### Health professionals

- **Strategies**
  - Skills demonstration
  - Observation and feedback
  - Health system environmental change

- **Delivery methods**
  - Didactic presentations
  - Small group discussion
  - Role-playing
  - Clinical experience

- **Tools**
  - Reminders, readings
  - Interactive media, audiovisual aids
  - Web-based tools

### Patients and Families

- **Strategies**
  - Skills development
  - Problem-solving
  - Peer support
  - Social environment change

- **Delivery methods**
  - One-on-one coaching or group-based classes
  - Web-based interventions, patient portals

- **Tools**
  - Written materials
  - Audiovisual aids
Communication Training Targets

- **Information Exchange**
  - Data-gathering
    - Physician – use open-ended questions to probe patient concerns
    - Patient – tell your story; disclose your concerns to physician
  - Educating and counseling
    - Physician – provide information in short, clear statements
    - Patient – tell physician what you understand and intend to do

- **Rapport-building**
  - Physician – make emotional connections, show support to patients
  - Patient – share your feelings and fears

- **Participation/Activation**
  - Physician – engage patient in problem-solving and decision-making
  - Patient – ask questions, express opinions, state preferences

Lipkin, Putnam, & Lazare, *Functions of the Medical Interview*, 1995
Patient-Physician Partnership to Improve HBP Adherence (Triple P Study)

- **Design:** RCT, factorial design, conducted 2002-2005
- **Participants:** 42 primary care doctors and 279 patients (60% African American) with high blood pressure
- **Settings:** 15 community-based clinics in Baltimore, MD
- **Programs:** Computer-based communication skills training for doctors; Patient activation by community health workers, 6 contacts (1 in-person, 5 by phone)
- **Goals:** Improve patient participation in decisions, adherence to medications, BP control over 12 months

Supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R01 HL69403), 2001-2005
Physician Intervention

Interactive CD-ROM
- Video of MD visit with standardized patient
- Feedback and self-assessment exercises
- Video-glossary of behaviors
- 2 hours to review
- CME credit given
Patient Intervention

- 20-minute pre-visit coaching and 10-minute post-visit debriefing by community health worker at 1st clinic visit
- Five telephone follow-ups over 1 year
- Coaching goals:
  - Help patient identify concerns regarding patient-physician relationship and disease management
  - Build patient’s skills in joint decision-making
  - Provide reinforcement and support; build confidence
- Photo-novella: dramatic storyline, 5th grade reading level
Results

- The combined intervention was effective at improving information exchange, participatory decision-making and systolic blood pressure over 12 months

- Effect on racial disparities:
  - Patient intervention improved patient positive affect blacks>whites, disparities eliminated
  - Physician intervention improved participatory decision-making blacks>whites, but disparities not eliminated

- Effect on literacy disparities:
  - Physician communication skills improved patient question-asking adequate literacy>low literacy, disparities increased
Lessons Learned

- Physician and patient barriers to completion of training/coaching need to be addressed
- Optimal “dose” of interventions still unknown
- Important to incentivize physician participation and build on patients’ support networks
- Studies are needed to explore other factors contributing to disparities in patient-physician communication
Johns Hopkins Center to Eliminate Cardiovascular Health Disparities

- We are 1 of 10 Centers for Population Health and Health Disparities (CPHHD) funded by the National Institutes of Health
- 5 centers focus on cardiovascular health disparities and 5 focus on cancer disparities
Center Objectives

- Test comprehensive, multi-level interventions to reduce cardiovascular health disparities
- Train the next generation of researchers in cardiovascular health disparities
- Create and enhance partnerships with a broad group of stakeholders: community members, organizations, patients, health care providers, health departments, payers, and policy-makers
- Translate and disseminate evidence generated from research into clinical and public health practice and policy to reduce health disparities
Model of relationships between multilevel factors and intervention targets to enhance outcomes of hypertension in urban African Americans
Project ReD CHiP
Reducing Disparities and Controlling Hypertension in Primary Care

• **Design**: Pragmatic trial using implementation science and community-engagement methods

• **Settings**: 6 community-based practices in a large primary care network in Baltimore, Maryland

• **Interventions**: multi-level quality improvement strategies to reduce disparities/improve BP control
  – BP measurement training
  – Patient care management
  – Provider education

Funded by CPHHD, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, P50HL0105187
PI: Lisa A. Cooper, MD, MPH
Participatory Communication Skills Training for Providers

- Delivered on a project-specific website
- Incorporates feedback from provider focus groups and lessons learned from previous work
- Narrow focus on medication adherence - broken down into assessment and partnering skills
- Ultra brief video clips that can be accessed through several pathways on the website
- Helps providers activate patients by considering determinants of disparities and demonstrating how to address them
Welcome to the Project ReDChiP Communication Skills Training program. Reviewing this program requires about 30 minutes. The program demonstrates communication approaches to address medication adherence among patients with hypertension.

Johns Hopkins Community Physicians will get relative value units (RVUs) for participating in this program. To use this program and get your RVUs, you must follow the 3 steps below:

- Take the required pre-training survey here.
- Choose your path – you can browse the various communication skills under the SKILLS tab, or choose to view the skills applied to a specific patient scenario under the PATIENTS tab.
- After viewing the demonstrations, click on the GET RVUs tab at the top of the page to finish the post-training survey and claim your RVUs.

You can also print a summary of this training for future reference by clicking here.

Meet The Patients. Click the images below.

Mr. Jackson  Mrs. Lewis  Ms. Bass
Ask for Patient Opinion Regarding Treatment Recommendations

Ask questions that specifically explore patients’ opinions, preferences, thoughts, and points of view or perspectives relating to treatment recommendations. Include questions that invite patients’ judgment, or ask for patients’ expectations to ensure that any plan includes patient input. To view more ‘partnering with patients’ skills, click on the images under ‘more videos’ on the right. To go back and view ‘assessment’ skills, click on the ‘skills’ tab above.

Brainstorm and Problem Solve

Brainstorming and problem solving helps motivate patients and better prepare them to successfully implement a treatment plan. Patients who are active in making a plan are more likely to stick to it because the ideas are their own. To view more ‘partnering with patients’ skills, click on the images under ‘more videos’ on the right. To go back and view ‘assessment’ skills, click on the ‘skills’ tab above.

Make Partnership Explicit

Make statements that convey the physician’s alliance with patients in terms of help and support, decision making, or development of the therapeutic plan. To view more ‘partnering with patients’ skills, click on the images under ‘more videos’ on the right. To go back and view ‘assessment’ skills, click on the ‘skills’ tab above.

Check Patient Understanding of Regimen and Instructions

Make explicit check-ins with patients to verify that instructions and information are clear and understood. This is the most effective way to know if you have communicated clearly and the patient is prepared to follow through on your recommendations. To view more ‘partnering with patients’ skills, click on the images under ‘more videos’ on the right. To go back and view ‘assessment’ skills, click on the ‘skills’ tab above.
Skills: Check Patient Understanding of Regimen and Instructions

Make explicit check-ins with patients to verify that instructions and information are clear and understood. This is the most effective way to know if you have communicated clearly and the patient is prepared to follow through on your recommendations.
Project ReD CHiP: Outcomes
(Reducing Disparities and Controlling Hypertension in Primary Care)

- Implementation rates
- Guideline-concordant care
- Reduction in racial disparities in blood pressure control
- Sustainability over 12-24 months
- Provider experiences with the intervention and self-reported use of communication behaviors (pre-and post)
- Patient experiences of care, including ratings of provider communication and cultural and linguistic competence
- Costs
Achieving Control Together (ACT) Study

- **Design:** 3 Arm RCT using comparative effectiveness and community-based participatory research approaches

- **Population:** 375 African Americans with uncontrolled hypertension in urban primary care clinic in Baltimore

- **Interventions:**
  - Community Health Worker plus BP Cuff
  - Community Health worker plus BP Cuff AND Communications Training (Do My PART)
  - Community Health Worker plus BP Cuff AND Self Management/Problem-Solving Training

- **Outcomes:** BP control, BP reduction

Funded by CPHHD, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, P50HL0105187
PI: L. Ebony Boulware, MD, MPH
Do MY PART Communication Training to Activate Patients and Families

- Goal: Help patients and family members or companions better engage in shared decision-making about hypertension care by achieving **four competencies:**

  - **PREPARE for your (medical) visit** (e.g., write questions about care, goals for visit down on paper)
  - **ACT during your visit** (e.g., let the doctor know about your questions)– family members participate constructively (i.e., do not interfere with positive interaction, focusing on supporting patient goals for visit)
  - **REVIEW** your treatment plan with the doctor before leaving
  - **TAKE** treatment plan home, work with family to carry it out
Five-Step Methodology for Evaluation and Adaptation of Print Patient Health Information

**Five-Step Methodology**

1. Readability evaluation of original document at whole document, paragraph, and sentence levels
2. Medical terminology/scientific jargon of original document
3. Literacy adaptation process at the sentence level
4. Readability evaluation of adapted document
5. Comparison of pre- and post-adaptation readability

*to meet the <5th Grade readability criterion
The ACT Study: Measures
(Achieving Blood Pressure Control Together)

Primary outcome:
• BP control over one year

Secondary outcomes:
• Costs
• Biological correlates

Process measures
• Audiotape measures of patient-provider communication
• Patient-reports of self-care, adherence, participation in decisions, and ratings of care
• Psychosocial correlates

Social, demographic, and environmental correlates
Conclusions

• Interventions to improve clinical communication show some evidence of effectiveness

• A small, but growing, number of studies focus on the whether such interventions can reduce disparities in care and outcomes

• Relatively few interventions target health professional and patient communication skills

• Most interventions are located within the healthcare system and do not address contextual issues and clustering of risks within vulnerable populations
Implications for Future Research

• Tailored strategies are needed for vulnerable populations and diverse settings
• Multi-level, trans-disciplinary, and theoretically-based approaches are most likely to be effective
• Combining comparative effectiveness and community-based participatory research methods will provide strongest evidence for future implementation, dissemination, and sustainability
• Describing intervention impact on disparities and costs would help to inform practice and policy
Thank you!
Learn more about the Johns Hopkins Center to Eliminate Cardiovascular Health Disparities:
Website: http://jhsph.edu/cardiodisparities
Weekly e-newsletter: e-mail jhalber5@jhmi.edu to subscribe