

MedEdPORTAL Peer Review Instrument v2.0

Revised December 2007

Please use the following form to rate the submission you recently reviewed. For those reviewers using this form for the first time, please read through the brief MedEdPORTAL Reviewer Tutorial located at www.mededportal.org

Question	Response
Is the author-supplied information contained within the Submission Form both accurate and complete?	Yes No Not Sure
Does the author provide educational objectives which are both clear and relevant?	Yes No Not Sure
Is the educational approach or method appropriate for the stated objectives?	Yes No Not Sure
Is the content of the submission sufficiently accurate, clear, and usable?	Yes No Not Sure
Does the author reference and/or build upon related work in this area?	Yes No Not Sure
Did the author provide evidence of the relative value or impact of this submission for the intended audience?	Yes No Not Sure
Did the author offer critically reflective comments regarding this resource?	Yes No Not Sure
<p>Narrative Comments and Feedback to Editor and Author:</p> <p>In the space provided, please include the following: (Remember, the author will read this feedback.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Briefly summarize the resource in your own words and why it would or would not be useful to other faculty. Clearly describe the rationale for your publication recommendation, including significant strengths or weaknesses and any recommended revisions. 	Open Text
<p>Confidential Feedback to Editor Only</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the space provided, please provide optional <u>confidential</u> feedback to the Editor regarding this submission. 	Open Text (optional)
<p>Select a publication recommendation. (if you select Revisions Required be sure to provide a list of recommended revisions in the narrative feedback section)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accept with Acclamation Accept Revisions Required Reject